# Synthesis and structural characterization of MoO<sub>3</sub> phases obtained from molybdic acid by addition of HNO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

A. STOYANOVA<sup>a</sup>, R. IORDANOVA<sup>b</sup>, M. MANCHEVA<sup>b</sup>, Y. DIMITRIEV<sup>c\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Medical University, 5800 Pleven, Bulgaria <sup>b</sup>Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria <sup>c</sup>University of Chemical Technology and Metallurgy, 1756 Sofia, Bulgaria

Orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> and monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> were successfully synthesized by cation-exchange of sodium molybdate solution applying three different routes: **i**) pure precursor molybdic acid solution (H<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>), **ii**) addition of HNO<sub>3</sub> to a precursor and **iii**) addition of 35% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to a precursor. By route **i**) pure orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> was synthesized. Pure monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> was obtained in the presence of HNO<sub>3</sub>. A polyphase product containing 72% monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> was obtained in the presence of 35% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The products obtained were characterized by XRD, IR and SEM analysis. The morphology of MoO<sub>3</sub> strongly depended on the synthesis method applied. Smaller crystals with uniform shape were obtained in the presence of HNO<sub>3</sub> and 35% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

(Received May 15, 2009; accepted July 30, 2009)

Keywords: Orthorhombic (a) MoO<sub>3</sub>, Monoclinic (β) MoO<sub>3</sub>, XRD, IR, SEM

# 1. Introduction

The investigations on the microstructure of the crystalline materials received considerable attention during the last years in connection with the preparation of nanostructured materials. The microstructure influences the properties of the materials and can be controlled by different synthesis methods.

Transition metal oxides like  $MoO_3$  have numerous industrial applications due to their optical, electrical and thermal properties [1]. Molybdenum oxide exhibits catalytic properties and is the major component of the catalysts for the oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde [2].

Various MoO<sub>3</sub> microstructures, such as: rods [3-6], wires [7], belts [8,9], flowers [9,10] and platelets [11] were synthesized by different methods. The most popular are: hydrothermal synthesis [3,4,6,9,12], vapor-deposition [8], vapor-transportation [5], hydro/solvothermal treatment [11], chemical precipitation [13] and sol-gel method [14-22].

Depending on the experimental conditions, different  $MoO_3$  polymorphs can be obtained: thermodynamically stable orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ )  $MoO_3$ , metastable monoclinic ( $\beta$ )  $MoO_3$  or hexagonal (h)  $MoO_3$ . For example, M. Nagano et al. [14] and W. Dong et al. [15] synthesized orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ )  $MoO_3$  by precursors of metal alkoxides. R. Murugan et al. [16] and M. A. Camacho-Lopez et al. [1] obtained this phase by acidification of sodium molybdate ( $Na_2MoO_4$ ) solution through a proton-exchanged resin. G. Li et al. [10]

applying a peroxo method synthesized orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub>. The peroxo sol-gel route is also suitable for the preparation of orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films at low temperature [17]. In the above studies hydrogen peroxide was used as a precursor for the synthesis of MoO<sub>3</sub> nanostructures and films [10,17], but its application as additive in cation-exchange method still was not investigated.

The metastable monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> was also synthesized by different methods: McCarron et al. [18] applied spary-dried of the amorphous molybdate acid obtained via cation-exchange of sodium molybdate (Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>) solution. F. Harb et al. [19] prepared monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> by cation-exchange of sodium molybdate (Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>) solution and heat-treatment in autoclave. The obtained product was characterized by rectangular platelet particles. According to T. Mizushima et al. [1,21] the key for the preparation of monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> is the addition of a small amount of HNO<sub>3</sub> to the precursor molybdic acid solution (H<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>). X. M. Wei et obtained monoclinic  $(\beta) MoO_3$ [11] hv hydro/solvothermal treatment of inorganic-organic hybrid precursors  $MoO_3(4,4'-bipyridyl)_{0.5}$ . In the above results there are not sufficient data about the morphology of the monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> particles.

S Komaba et al. [12] obtained hexagonal (h)  $MoO_3$  by a hydrothermal reaction. Using chemical precipitation, the same phase with unique rod-like morphology was synthesized by J. Song et al. [13]. Z. S. Guan et al. [22] obtained a nanodisk of hexagonal (h)  $MoO_3$  by heattreatment of rhodamine B/ molybdic acid aggregates (RBMA).

The above possibilities to modify the microstructure and the type of the polymorphs varying the experimental conditions initiated our research interest in this field. The aim of this work was to study the structure and morphology of  $MoO_3$  phases obtained from molybdic acid precursor in the presence of two different additives - $HNO_3$  and  $H_2O_2$ .

# 2. Experimental

The cation-exchange synthesis was carried out following the procedure described by T. Mizushima et al. [21]. The molybdic acid (H<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>) solution was prepared by successively passing 50 ml aqueous solution of Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O (Merck) (1mol/dm<sup>3</sup>) through two columns of a cation-exchange resin (Aldrich, Amberlite IR120). This treatment allowed removing of Na<sup>+</sup> ions from the solution. The concentration of Na<sup>+</sup> ions in the final solution, measured by ICP-AES, was below 2 mg/cm<sup>3</sup>. The solution of H<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub> obtained was evaporated, then heat-treated at 200 °C and 250 °C according to three different routes:

*i*) using pure  $H_2MOO_4$  solution (without any additives); *ii*) adding HNO<sub>3</sub> to a  $H_2MOO_4$  solution; *iii*) adding 35%  $H_2O_2$  to a  $H_2MOO_4$  solution:

*i*) pure  $H_2MoO_4$  solution: 30 cm<sup>3</sup> of molybdic acid solution ( $H_2MoO_4$ ) formed via cation-exchange were placed in a 50 cm<sup>3</sup> vessel, evaporated to near dryness in a vacuum drying oven at room temperature for one week and after that in a dessicator over concentrated sulphuric acid. The powder obtained was heated successively for 2 h at 200 °C and 250 °C, in an oxygen flow [16,20].

ii) adding HNO<sub>3</sub> to a H<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub> solution: To 30 cm<sup>3</sup> of the cation-exchanged solution, 0.060 cm<sup>3</sup> of concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> were added. The solution was evaporated to dryness by vacuum drying at room temperature. The drying was completed in three weeks and obtained powder was heated successively for 2 h at 200 °C and 250 °C in an oxygen flow [21].

*iii*) adding 35%  $H_2O_2$  solution to a  $H_2MoO_4$  solution. The influence of  $H_2O_2$  was studied by addition of 0.020 cm<sup>3</sup> of 35%  $H_2O_2$  to 20 cm<sup>3</sup> of the molybdic acid ( $H_2MoO_4$ ) solution. The solution containing (0.1%  $H_2O_2$ ) was evaporated by vacuum drying at 60 °C. The powder obtained was heated successively for 2 h at 200 °C and 250 °C in air.

The samples obtained were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD-Bruker D8 Advance, Cu  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation) and Infrared spectroscopy (IR-Nicolet-320 FTIR spectrometer). The X-ray diffraction data were analysed using the "PowderCell" program to determine the volume percentage of the phases present in the samples [23]. The

morphology of the MoO<sub>3</sub> phases obtained was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM-JEOL 5300). For comparison, the structure of as-purchased orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> was presented.

### 3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 (a-d) shows the X-ray patterns of: as-purchased orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> (fig.1a); monophase orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> prepared by route *i*) (JCPDS-35-0609) (fig.1b); monophase monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> (JCPDS-47-1081) obtained by route *ii*) (fig.1c) and polyphase sample obtained by route *iii*) (fig.1d).

Heat treatment at 200 °C of the samples obtained by *i*) and *ii*) routes led to partial crystallization. The amorphous phase was observed only when we used  $H_2O_2$  as additive (route *iii*). By "PowderCell" program, it was estimated that after heat-treatment at 250 °C the crystallization was accomplished and polyphase product was obtained, containing monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> (72%) and orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> (28%) (fig.2).

The intensity of the main diffraction lines of orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) and monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> phases was very close to JCPDC data (JCPDS-35-0609 and JCPDS-47-1081; fig.1b-c). It is worth nothing that in as-purchased MoO<sub>3</sub> the crystals were mostly oriented along 0*l*0 planes (*l*=2, 4, 6 and 10) (fig.1a).



Fig.1. X-ray patterns of: a) as-purchased orthorhombic (a)  $MoO_3$ ; b) orthorhombic (a)  $MoO_3$  obtained by route i); c) monoclinic ( $\beta$ )  $MoO_3$  obtained by route ii); d) sample obtained by route iii).



Fig. 2. Result of the phase refinement for X-ray data of the sample obtained by route iii).

IR spectroscopy was used to obtain additional information on the phases and structure transformations of MoO<sub>3</sub> phases. Fig. 3 presents the IR spectra of the different samples. The assignment of the observed absorption bands was made in the framework of the local point-symmetry approach [24]. The main difference was found in the absorption regions around 600 cm<sup>-1</sup> and at 990 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The band at 600-630 cm<sup>-1</sup> is typical of the vibration of the Mo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> entity formed by edge shared  $MoO_6$  polyhedra building the orthorhombic (a)  $MoO_3$ structure. The band at 990 cm<sup>-1</sup> is characteristic of the vibration of the Mo=O terminal bond (fig.3a-c) [25,26]. The dominant band at 870 cm<sup>-1</sup> is associated with the vibration of Mo-O-Mo bridging bonds [19,25-28]. The decrease in intensity of the band at 600 cm<sup>-1</sup> may be considered as a result of the decreasing number of edge shared bonds (Fig.3c). Shifting of the band position to high frequency is probably due to a change in symmetry of the Mo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> entity.

In the IR spectrum (Fig.3d) of monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> there are no absorption bands at 990 cm<sup>-1</sup> and bands around 600 cm<sup>-1</sup> because Mo=O and Mo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> entities are absent in this polymorph [19,25,28]. Obviously, the IR spectra can be a useful tool by which one can get in a short time information about the transition of polymorphs.



Fig. 3. IR spectra of: a) as-purchased orthorhombic (a) MoO<sub>3</sub>; b) orthorhombic (a) MoO<sub>3</sub> obtained by route i);
c) sample obtained by route iii); d) monoclinic (β) MoO<sub>3</sub> obtained by route ii).

Figs. 4-7 show the SEM images of the different MoO<sub>3</sub> phases. The particles of as-purchased orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> are of elongated needle-type with an average size of about 5÷50 µm (fig.4).

In the SEM images of orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> (route *i*) nearly isometric crystals with an average size of 1  $\mu$ m (primary particles), with a strong tendency to agglomeration were observed. These secondary particles were sized between 10÷20  $\mu$ m (fig.5).

The sample containing pure monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> is composed of small crystals below 0.5  $\mu$ m, with a lower tendency to agglomeration (fig.6). The SEM image of the sample containing 72% monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> and 28% orthorhombic ( $\alpha$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> (route *iii*) shows also a decrease in average size of the particles below 0.5  $\mu$ m (fig.7).



Fig. 4. SEM image of as-purchased orthorhombic (a)  $MoO_3$ .



Fig. 6. SEM image of monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> obtained by route **ii**).



Fig.7. SEM image of sample obtained by route iii).



Fig. 5. SEM image of orthorhombic (a)  $MoO_3$  obtained by route **i**).

### 4. Conclusion

A new approach to the preparation of monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> was suggested. A small amount of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.1%) as additive contributed to the formation of this phase. It is estimated that the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> hampered crystallization processes in comparison to the addition of HNO<sub>3</sub>. At 200 °C the amorphous phase was predominant.

In the presence of HNO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as additives, after heat treatment, both MoO<sub>3</sub> polymorphs are characterized by isometric crystals and lower tendency for agglomeration. The least tendency for agglomeration was observed in pure monoclinic ( $\beta$ ) MoO<sub>3</sub> phase obtained by route *ii*).

# Acknowledgments

Thanks are due to the Financial Support of Bulgarian National Science Fund, Ministry of Education and Science Grants: TK-X-1718/07.

## References

- M. A. Camacho-Lopez, H. Haro-Poniatowski, L. Lartundo-Rojas, J. Livage, C. M. Julien, Mater. Sci. Engineering B 135, 88 (2006).
- [2] T. Mizushima, K. Fukushima, H. Ohkita, N. Kakuta, Applied Catalysis A General **326**, 106 (2007).
- [3] A. N. Taurino, A. Forleo, L. Francioso, P. Siciliano, M. Stalder, R. Nesper, Appl. Phys. Chem. 88, 152111 (2006).
- [4] X. W. Lou, H. C. Zeng, Chem. Mater. 14, 4781 (2002).
- [5] W. Li, F. Cheng, Z. Tao, J. Chen, J. Phys. Chem. B 110, 119 (2006).
- [6] L. Fang, Y. Shu, A. Wang, T. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. C 111, 2401 (2007).
- [7] J. Zhou, S. Z. Deng, N. S. Xu, J. Chen, J. C. She, Appl. Phys. Chem. 13, 2653 (2003).
- [8] Y. B. Li, Y. Bando, D. Galberg, K. Kurashima, Appl. Phys. Chem. 81, 5048 (2002).
- [9] X. L. Li, J. F. Liu, Y. D. Li, Appl. Phys. Chem. 81, 4832 (2002).
- [10] G. Li, L. Jiang, S. Pang, H. Peng, Z. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. B 110, 24472 (2006).
- [11] X. M. Wei, H C. Zeng, J. Phys. Chem. B 107, 2619 (2003).
- [12] S. Kamaba, N. Kumagai, R. Kumagai, N. Kumagai, H. Yashiro, Solid State Ionics, **319**, 152 (2002).
- [13] J. Song, X. Ni, L. Gao, H. Zheng, Mater. Chem. Phys.

**102,** 245 (2007).

- [14] M. Nagano, M. Greenblatt, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 101, 255 (1988).
- [15] W. Dong, B. Dunn, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 225, 135 (1998).
- [16] R. Murugan, A. Chule, C. Bhongale, H. Chang, J. Mater. Chem. **10**, 2157 (2000).
- [17] Y. Zhang, S. Kuai, Z. Wang, X. Hu, Applied Surface Science 165, 56 (2000).
- [18] E. M. McCarron, III, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 336 (1986).
- [19] F. Harb, B. Gerand, G. Nowogrocki, M. Figlarz, Solid State Ionics, 32/33, 84 (1989).
- [20] I. Juarez Ramirez, A. Martinez-de la Cruz, Mater. Lett. 57, 1034 (2003).
- [21] T. Mizushima, K. Fukushima, T. M. Huong,H. Ohkita, N. Kakuta, Chem. Letters 34, 986 (2005).
- [22] Z. S. Guan, Y. Zhang, Q. Zhang, D. Xu Li, J. Colloid. Interface Sci. 302, 113 (2006).
- [23] PowderCell for Windows (Version 2.4), W. Kraus, G. Nolze, Federal Institute for materials Research and Testing Rudower Chanssee 5, 12489, Berlin, Germany
- [24] K. Nakamoto Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination, 5<sup>th</sup> Part B, New Work, 1997, p. 220.
- [25] L. Kinlborg, Ark. Kemi. 21, 357 (1963).
- [26] L. Segun, M. Figlaz, R. Cavagnat, J. C. Lassegues, Spectr. Acta A 51, 1323 (1995).
- [27] K. Eda, J. Solid State Chem. **95**, 64 (1991)
- [28] G. A. Nazri, C. Julien, Solid State Ionics 80, 271 (1995).

\*Corresponding author: yanko@uctm.edu